UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,348	02/24/2004	Susan Shelso	1001.1725101	8750
	7590 10/26/200 SEAGER & TUFTE, L	EXAMINER		
1221 NICOLLE		SCHELL, LAURA C		
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55403-2420	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/785,348	SHELSO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	LAURA C. SCHELL	3767					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 07 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); er form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying th					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 							
13. Other:	1 10/00/00/1 aper 110(5).						
/Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767	/Laura C Schell/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner has reviewed Applicant's arguments, but have not found them persuassive. With regards to Applicant's arguments that the catheter tip does not contact the guidewire stop due to the filter located between the two elements, the examiner would like to point out that the claim language does not require that the catheter's distal tip abut the guidewire stop, it merely requires a guidewire with a distal stop. With regards to Applicant's arguments that the examiner is misidentifying the guidewire lumen (Applicant asserts that it is labeled as 7 in Fig. 9), the examiner agrees that a portion of the guidewire lumen is 7, however the distal portion of the guidewire lumen, such as the portion that 202 surrounds, is much smaller in diameter and is approximately the diameter of the guidewire passing through it. With regards to Applicant's arguments that it is not the function of the Griffin device to invert proximally into the lumen, it is still the examiner's position that if the distal tip of the catheter abuts a surface with a high enough force to deform the distal tip of the catheter, the distal tip of the catheter is capable of inverting proximally into the lumen (inverting into area 13 for example). The examiner suggests adding more structural claim language, perhaps with regards to the structre and shape of the distal end of the catheter which allows the catheter to invert proximally into the lumen during routine use.